PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1.004.024 WO		OR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. International f PCT/NL2004/000863 10.12.2004		nternational filing date 0.12.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12.12.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A22C11/00						
Applicant TOWNSEND ENGIN	NEERING B.V. ET	AL.				
This report is the Authority under Author	international prelimi Article 35 and transm	inary examination re nitted to the applica	eport, established by nt according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.		
2. This REPORT of	onsists of a total of 5	sheets, including t	his cover sheet.			
3. This report is als						
•	a. \(\times \) sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 10 sheets, as follows:					
⊠ shee and/o						
beyo	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to to sequence	he International Bure e listing and/or tables	related thereto, in	ndicate type and nur computer readable fo 02 of the Administrat	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental ive Instructions).		
4. This report conta	ains indications relati	ing to the following	tems:			
_						
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion	n				
☐ Box No. II	Priority					
∐ Box No. III			ard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inv					
🖾 Box No. V	Reasoned stateme applicability; citation	ent under Article 35(ons and explanation	with regard to nov s supporting such sta	relty, inventive step or industrial attement		
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents					
☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in t	the international app	olication			
	Certain observation					
Date of submission of the	e demand		Date of completion of	of this report		
12.10.2005			08.02.2006			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized Officer	caratherina Principa,		
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas			von Arx, V.			
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016			Telephone No. +31	70 340-2464		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000863

IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 06 JUN 2006

	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it w filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	which	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	With regar	Id to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this foriginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>				
	Description	n, Pages				
	1-7	filed with telefax on 28.10.2005				
	Claims, Nu	mbers				
	1-15	filed with telefax on 28.10.2005				
	Drawings,	Sheets				
	1/4-4/4	as originally filed				
	□ a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): by table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	had not be Suppleme the the the the the the the the the th	 □ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 				
	* If i	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

Yes: Claims 1-6 No: Claims 7-15

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-6

No: Claims 7-15

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

10/581785

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 6 PP 10 P 2086 PCT/NL2004/000863

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-4418447

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses, see the whole document and particularly col. 5, line 47, to col 7, line 35, a method for phased separation of a sausage strand, comprising the processing steps of:

- A) supplying a sausage strand,
- B) positioning the sausage strand relative to a separating element,
- C) moving at least two pressing members (34) forming part of the separating element toward each other with a first movement such that the sausage strand is constricted locally,
- E) moving at least two cutting members (56) forming part of the same separating element toward each other such that the sausage strand is separated at the position of the sausage strand constricted locally during processing step C).

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that between steps C) and E) the pressing members 34 are moved apart.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The prior published documents, cited in the description or in the international search report, either taken individually or in combination do not disclose, suggest or reasonably lead the person skilled in the art to consider moving the pressing members (34) apart during a processing step D) between steps C) and E).

The person skilled in the art would not be able to combine all the features of claim 1 and as such arrive at the claimed method without an inventive activity.

Moreover, claims 2 to 6 are dependent on claim 1, and thus all the claims 1 to 6 satisfy the requirements of Article 33(2)(3) PCT.

The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of independent claims 7 and 13 is not new in respect of the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT):

Document D1 discloses a separating element (see fig. 10) for phased separation of a sausage strand (58) comprising:

- at least two pressing members (34), which pressing members are relatively displaceable between a release position and an operative position in which the pressing members are placed closer together than in the release position (see figs. 2 and 4 to 7), and
- at least two cutting members (56) forming part of and thus assembled with the pressing members (34), which cutting members are relatively displaceable between a release position and a cutting position, whereby
- each of the cutting members (56) form part of a respective pressing member (34) and the cutting members (56) are thus rigidly paired to a pressing member (34) and
- a paired cutting member (56) and pressing member (34) are situated on opposite sides of the sausage strand (58) to be separated.

D1 also discloses that a plurality of such separating elements may be placed in line to form an assembly.

From the above it appears that all the features of claims 7 and 12 are known from this one document D1 at least by implication and the subject matter of said claims can therefore not be regarded as new.

Dependent claims 8 to 11 and 13 to 15 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see document D1 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.